

Change Log

Version 26.04

- Entire document - Grammar and flow improvements
- 1.1.12.2 - Added clarity that side bias does not carry over from swiss rounds into cut.
- 1.1.5.3 - Fixed match to say game
- 1.1.5.3.1 Clarified that TOs may place a time limit on the final two turns of the game after time is called, and clarified policies for how to handle situations where those final two turns are not able to complete in that period.
- 1.3.4 - Moved the event type restriction to competitive events (CTKs can be in any format)
- 2.1.4.4 - Added Icebreakers splitting from programs in decklists.
- 2.4.2 - Definition of notetaking to include tokens and card orientation
- 2.5.13 - Added rules on concessions.
- 2.5.14 - Basic guidelines for minors
- 3.2.7 - Added rules on TOs needing to have top cut lists publically available.
- 3.3.10 - Added rules on Judges being able to perform deck checks.
- 4.1.2.3 and 4.1.2.4. Added rules on double gamelosses
- 4.4 - Rewrote, giving clear instructions on how to fix an illegal decklist.
- 4.8 - Removed a poorly worded and contradictory blue text suggestion
- 4.9 - Clarified language in the blue text
- 5.1.3 Clarified language significantly (and removed card draw clause)
- 5.5 - Rewrote, giving four examples of when take backs are and are not permissible
- Appendix II - Explicitly allow no cuts and single elimination cuts in non-competitive events and Districts.
- Appendix III - Added rules on card legibility
- Appendix III - Added a note on needing the faction symbol
- Appendix III - Added rules on edited card names.
- Appendix III - Fixed contrast checker link
- Added Appendix V - Deck check guidelines

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
1. Event Types and Structures	3
1.1. Common Structural Elements	3
1.2. Casual Tier Events	5
1.3. Competitive Tier Events	6
1.4. Sanctioned Events	6
1.5. Online and Virtual Events	7
1.6. Asynchronous Events	7
2. Player and Spectator Expectations	8
2.1. Decks and Decklists	8
2.2. Tokens and Dice	9
2.3. Proxies & Alt-Arts	10
2.4. Other Equipment and Aids	10
2.5. Player Event Responsibilities	11
2.6. Spectator Responsibilities	13
3. Staff, Tournament Organizer, Judge, Timekeeper, and Scorekeeper Expectations	14
3.1. All Staff Expectations	14
3.2. Tournament Organizer Expectations	14
3.3. Judge Expectations	16
3.4. Timekeeper Expectations	17
3.5. Scorekeeper Expectations	17
3.6. Oracle Expectations	18
4. Infraction and Penalty Policies	20
4.1. Types of Penalties	20
4.2. Determining the Type of Infraction	21
4.3. Code of Conduct and Unsporting Behaviour violations	22
4.4. Decklist and Deck Issues	22
4.5. Revealed Information & Overdraws	22
4.6. Missed Triggers and Illegal action	23
4.7. Skipped Actions	24
4.8. Illegal Board State	24
4.9. Clock Violations	25
5. Repairing Board States	26
5.1. Missed triggers and Illegal Actions	26

5.2. Surplus Information Obtained	27
5.3. Illegal Decklist or Deck	28
5.4. Illegal Boardstate	28
5.5. Take Backs	29
Appendix I: Common Terms and Abbreviations	30
Appendix II: Tournament Rounds Recommendations	31
Single Sided Swiss Round Recommendations	31
Double Sided Swiss Round Recommendations	32
Appendix III: Alt Art Guidelines	33
Casual Event Requirements	33
Competitive Event Requirements	33
Appendix IV: Accommodations	35
Oracles	35
Accessible Seating	35
Fixed Seating	36
Sensory Devices	36
Sensory Rooms	36
Shufflers	36
Break Extension	36
Time Extension	37

Introduction

The Null Signal Games Organized Play Policies serve as a combined reference for tournament attendees and event runners (referred to as staff) alike. Much of what is contained here is common sense, but it is codified to ensure that all players are held to the same standards and to facilitate a consistent event experience worldwide. For ease of use, policies are organized based on who they are primarily geared towards. Players are of course encouraged to familiarize themselves with this entire document but will find the most relevant information towards the beginning.

Null Signal Games is committed to promoting a culture of sporting conduct and mutual respect as well as creating safe and inclusive environments for all participants. Event staff are not just present to enforce rules; they have your best interests at heart. While our community is considered one of the most friendly in the gaming world, you might occasionally come across players who don't behave in a fair and appropriate way.

Attendees should be comfortable calling a judge or coming to a member of event staff knowing that their concerns are confidential, will not be dismissed, and will be handled appropriately. In essence: use common sense, do your best to play fairly, honestly, and attentively, have fun, and be excellent to one another.

In many cases we want the rules to be concise and easy to look up. So we've split most sections into two components. The actual rule text will be written as plain text like this paragraph.

Commentary on the rules will be written below it like this.

This document is meant to normalize the experience across all tournaments, however the Tournament Organizer has the final say on all organizational aspects of the event, including but not limited to structure, legal tokens/dice/proxies, and policy exceptions. Anything not clarified or covered by this document is also at the discretion of the Tournament Organizer

1. Event Types and Structures

1.1. Common Structural Elements

1.1.1. Most events consist of multiple **Rounds** of Swiss. These rounds consist of playing between 1 and 2 **Games** of Netrunner. This set of games is a **Match**.

1.1.2. Swiss tournaments pair players based on their current record, their **Score**. Some events will use additional criteria to filter the pairings below that.

Singled Sided Swiss may use sides played as part of the consideration in pairing people. Online events may use time zones as part of the pairing algorithm. Some events will use tiebreakers to help setup pairings. Swiss events should always use score as the primary pairing mechanism

1.1.3. If there are an odd number of players in the tournament without byes at the start of any round, one player must receive a **Bye**. A bye awards points equivalent to winning all games in the round. In each round requiring a bye, a player who has not previously had a bye, and who has the lowest score among such players is chosen at random to receive a bye.

1.1.3.2. In Megacity Championships, Continental Championships, and World Championships, some players may have a first-round bye. These players are assigned a bye in the first round. If there are an odd number of players without a first-round bye, one is chosen at random to receive a bye.

1.1.4. **Scores** are determined on a per-game basis. A win is worth 3 points, a tie is worth 1 point, and a loss is worth 0 points.

1.1.5. Players will have an amount of time to play their match. They may draw opening hands and mulligan before the round timer starts, but may not begin their first turn until the round timer starts.

1.1.5.1. If players are playing two games, rounds should be between 65 and 70 minutes

1.1.5.2. If players are playing one game, rounds should be between 40 and 45 minutes

We recommend 40 minutes for single sided swiss events.

1.1.5.3. When the round timer ends, the player whose turn it is when time is called finishes their turn. If the game has not finished after that, the other player gets to take their final turn. If there is still no decisive result, whoever is ahead on agenda points is declared the winner. If players are still tied by that metric, the game is declared a tie.

1.1.5.3.1 A TO may place a time limit on this additional pair of turns, after which they may stop play entirely.

We recommend 5 minutes for this extra time

1.1.5.3.2. No alternative tie breakers (flipping a coin, additional turns negotiation, etc.) are permitted.

1.1.5.3.3 Players should not be permitted to spend more than 1 minute without taking actions once time is called.

1.1.5.3.4 If the whole extra time elapses, and one player has not taken a turn, the judge should evaluate whether slow play was a factor (see 4.9.3) and then score the match as normal following 1.1.5.3

1.1.5.4. If there are multiple games in the match and time is called before the final game of the match, any following games are tied.

1.1.6. In some events there will be a **Record-based Progression Cut**. In these scenarios the top 3, 4, 8, or 16 players will advance to the elimination rounds.

1.1.6.1. The first criteria is player **Score** (cumulative points across all games).

1.1.6.2. First tiebreaker if score does not uniquely identify the top N players is **Strength of Schedule**. for each player, sum their total event points and divide it by the number of rounds they have completed in the tournament so far to find their average points per round. Sum these for all of a player's opponents, then divide that number by the number of rounds so far to find Strength of Schedule.

1.1.6.3. The final tiebreaker is **Extended Strength of Schedule**, which is the sum of all a player's opponents' Strength of Schedules divided by that player's number of opponents.

1.1.6.4. If players are still tied after the final tiebreaker, a random process should be used to determine who advances to the cut.

1.1.6.5. Players receive a seed based on their final placement in the event - highest scoring and tiebreak player is the first seed, next highest player the second seed, and so on.

1.1.7. Elimination matches consist of one game.

1.1.8. If decklists were collected, each player's decklist should be provided to their opponent between 3 and 5 minutes before the start of the round timer. Players may refer to their opponents' decklists during the round.

1.1.9. Cuts can be Single Elimination or Double Elimination. For single elimination cuts, once someone loses one game they are out. In double elimination a player must lose two games before being eliminated. This includes the finals, where the undefeated player has to be beaten twice.

1.1.10. Cuts can be timed or untimed.

1.1.11. Side selection rules in cuts:

1.1.11.1. In the first round a cut the higher seed gets side selection while reviewing decklists (enough time should be allotted so that their opponent can read over the relevant decklist with additional attention).

1.1.11.2. In subsequent rounds, each player plays the side they have played the least. If the paired players have played the same side the least, the player who has the greatest differential between their two sides plays that side. If both players have the same differential, sides are decided at random.

This side differential is only tracked from games within the cut. Side bias from swiss does **not carry over into the top cut.**

1.1.11.3. If the two finalists play a second game, they must play the opposite sides as they did in the first game.

1.1.12. If an elimination game would end in a tie, the player with the higher seed is the winner.

1.1.13. Players should be ranked according to the round in which they were eliminated, using their seed as a tiebreaker if they were eliminated in the same round

1.2. Casual Tier Events

1.2.1. Casual tier events make up the majority of Netrunner tournaments. They prioritize accessibility and opportunities for learning over tight rules enforcement and competitive integrity. Game Night Kits (GNKs) and Casual Tournament Kits (CTKs) are Casual events, and most leagues and other events are Casual level.

Players and TOs should assume that it is someone's first event experience and give instructions accordingly.

1.2.2. Alt arts that fail the requirements in Appendix III may be used with the permission of the tournament organizer.

1.3. Competitive Tier Events

1.3.1. Competitive events are designed to be the highest competitive integrity events on offer. District Championships, Megacity Championships, Continentals, World Championships, and Circuit Breaker Invitationals are all Competitive events. In Competitive events players are expected to have a fair baseline understanding of the rules and structure for the event.

1.3.2. Decklists are required to enter.

1.3.3. Alt arts must follow the requirements in Appendix III.

1.3.4. All Competitive events must follow the current Null Signal Games Standard format, unless otherwise approved by Null Signal Games Organized Play.

1.4. Sanctioned Events

1.4.1. Sanctioned events include the following events: Casual Tournament Kits, District Championships, Megacity Championships, Continentals, World Championships and Circuit Breaker Invitationals. The “sanctioned” or “unsanctioned” status is separate from Competitive/Casual as explained above.

1.4.2. Sanctioned event round lengths must be between 65 and 70 minutes (in the case of double sided swiss), or 40 and 45 minutes (for single sided swiss).

1.4.3. Sanctioned event kits will only be sold to prospective TOs or Stores that commit to running an event within a specified scheduling period.

1.4.4. TOs or Stores who purchase Sanctioned event kits and do not run events with them may be blacklisted from purchasing future Sanctioned event kits, excepting extenuating circumstances.

1.4.5. Basic Sanctioned event kits cannot be split between multiple events, though they may be combined to offer more prize support for a sufficiently large event with Null Signal Games Organized Play’s approval. Where NSG offers Boosted versions of sanctioned event kits, they may be used either for one large or two smaller events.

1.4.6. Sanctioned events must be run at a venue which is not age-restricted and is open to the general public (a venue with a reasonable entry or cover fee is acceptable).

Venues accessible to all are strongly preferred. An example of unacceptable venues under this rule would be age 21+ bars or private clubs requiring memberships.

1.4.7. Prizes from Sanctioned event kits must be paid out following the specific event’s prize structure as closely as possible. Said structures are included with each kit and are made available publicly on nullsignal.games.

1.4.8. Any remaining prize materials from a Sanctioned event may only be used for future events or otherwise distributed after the scheduling period has ended.

1.5. Online and Virtual Events

1.5.1. Online events function like other events and may be Casual, Competitive and Sanctioned or unsanctioned. The following rules apply in particular to online events:

1.5.2. Sanctioned events (regardless of Casual or Competitive designation) must be conducted using jinteki.net or a mirror of it. Other services, websites, and programs may be used in conjunction with jinteki.net so long as their primary purpose is not to facilitate gameplay itself.

1.5.3. Policies regarding third-party services, websites, and programs to facilitate communication should be announced by the Tournament Organizer in advance of the event.

1.5.4. Event staff may impose reasonable and relevant rules and policies regarding online and virtual events above and beyond what is outlined in this document and players will be expected to comply.

For example: “Players need to check-in with event staff on Discord in between rounds.” is a reasonable and relevant directive and players could be penalized at the Tournament Organizer’s discretion (up to and including being dropped from the event) for not following this rule.

1.5.5. In the event a player disconnects during a game, they have 5 minutes to reconnect. If the disconnected player is unable to rejoin the game within 5 minutes, their opponent is awarded a win. If there is a second game in the match and the player who disconnected is unable to play after an additional 5 minutes, they forfeit the second game.

1.6. Asynchronous Events

1.6.1. Asynchronous events are tournaments where participants are paired as usual, but instead of playing their games immediately they have some number of days (usually a week) to agree to play their match with their opponent.

1.6.2. Asynchronous events must have a predefined time that pairings are announced each period (changes can be made as the tournament progresses as long as it is accessible to all participants).

1.6.3. Once paired, players have an amount of time set by the tournament organizer to schedule a match. Failure to do so can lead to a round loss for both players at the TO’s discretion.

1.6.4. If players are unable to find an appropriate time despite all efforts (the TO may ask for proof of communication), they should be awarded a tie. If one player has no availability, has not responded, or provided an unreasonably small window of availability, the TO may elect to instead award the match win to the other player.

1.6.5. TOs must make every effort to provide Judge coverage for their event. This can be through either providing a schedule of when judges are available for the players to organize around, or by having an excess of judges available to cover all potential times.

1.6.6. If no Judge is available within 10 minutes of a judge call, the players may choose from the following options:

1.6.6.1. Record the result as a tie. This is the default option if players cannot agree on another.

1.6.6.2. Agree to reschedule the game, working with a judge to restore their gamestate as faithfully as possible from replays (or if fewer than 4 turns had passed, restarting the game)

1.6.6.3. Continue to wait for a judge.

1.6.7. For sanctioned events, decklists must be locked at the start of the event and may not be changed round-to-round.

2. Player and Spectator Expectations

2.1. Decks and Decklists

2.1.1. All cards must be sleeved such that no element of the card back can be seen, and cards are indistinguishable from each other while face-down.

It is recommended that players bring a few extra sleeves in case of other issues

2.1.2. Players are responsible for their decks being legal at all times. This includes deckbuilding restrictions for the format.

2.1.3. Cards and other materials are allowed regardless of the language in which they are printed; players are encouraged to use the cards they feel most comfortable with. When referring to rules, errata, and official text, the English name of the card in question will be used, although this information will be made available in any language it has been officially translated into.

2.1.3.1 Decks may not include cards using rules text written in more than 2 different languages.

2.1.4. Some events will require decklists. Players must submit them before the first round starts and are responsible for any inaccuracies.

Printed decklists are preferred (exports from NRDB fulfill all the requirements in this section)

2.1.4.1. Decklists must exactly match the player's physical decks.

Decklists are the source of truth, as they are submitted in advance. There are more details in later sections about this.

2.1.4.2. All cards in a decklist must use the title of the card.

Abbreviations are acceptable in certain situations, where they're commonly used in the community - "Turbine" instead of "K2CP Turbine" for example. With Bioroids that have multiple models (Eli 1.0, Eli 2.0) it is required to include the number. The goal here is not to hunt down small inaccuracies, but to ensure that decklists can be read and understood by all players.

2.1.4.3. A decklist must be legible.

2.1.4.4. Decklists must be submitted ordered first by type, and then alphabetically by card name. All quantities of each card in a single line with the number of copies indicated. Ice may be further broken up by subtype, but must remain grouped with other ice. Icebreakers may also be split off from other programs, but must remain adjacent.

2.1.4.5. A decklist must contain the player's name, event and the date.

In streamed events preferred name and pronouns are strongly encouraged.

2.1.4.6 Printed decklists that fulfill the other requirements above are allowed. It is at the sole discretion of the TO if handwritten decklists will be accepted.

2.1.4.7. If a discrepancy is discovered between the physical deck and the decklist, the decklist will be treated as the source of truth

2.2. Tokens and Dice

2.2.1. A token is a physical representation of public information.

2.2.2. Tokens are used to represent various pieces of public information including credits, tags, core damage, bad publicity, and counters.

2.2.3. Tokens may be used as a reminder of hidden information.

For example, a Runner may place a token in front of a server to remind them it contains a certain card.

2.2.4. Tokens may not be arranged in an excessively messy way or a way that obscures their purpose, value, or any card information.

2.2.5. Dice may be used to represent discrete values. If dice are used for credits it is recommended to not go above values of 5 for ease of players and judges.

2.2.6. If a player is uncomfortable with the way their opponent is representing any element of their board state, they may request a reasonable modification, which includes changing how counters and credits are represented.

2.2.7. In Competitive tier events electronic devices to track the board state may only be used if they are only capable of displaying information that is normally accessible to players.

We don't want players to be able to cheat with unclear devices or internet capable devices that can communicate extra information - but people have experimented with digital click/credit trackers and those are allowable when they follow the above guidelines

2.3. Proxies & Alt-Arts

2.3.1. A Proxy is a stand-in for a legal card in a player's deck that the user does not physically have with them. Proxies are permitted at all Null Signal Games events.

2.3.2. Proxies must fit in a card sleeve without any protrusions, and not feel physically different from other cards in the deck.

Hand cut proxies should ideally have another playing card (Netrunner or most other card games should suffice) backing it to minimize the chances of being detectable by either player

2.3.3. Proxies may be in color or black and white.

2.3.4. Proxies may not contain any game information not printed on the original card. Proxies of non-identity cards must contain all the information on the original card. When using a proxy of an identity card, players should have access to that identity's rules text.

2.3.5. Players may not create handwritten proxies. Judges may issue handwritten proxies when necessary.

2.3.6. Alt-arts are a type of proxy instead of being a direct facsimile of the original printing that use new art and/or frames. Alt-arts are only permitted under certain circumstances.

2.3.7. The card has to clearly display all the essential information at a text and icon size comparable to Null Signal Games cards. For Corp cards in particular players must avoid major alterations to the frame and judges may at their discretion ban certain proxies for clarity. For more details see Appendix III.

2.3.8. Alt-arts must fall within the Null Signal Games Code of Conduct, good taste, not mimic other cards such that it can be mistaken for a different card, and not contain external notes.

2.4. Other Equipment and Aids

2.4.1. At all events, notes are allowed to be created and referenced during a game.

2.4.2 Notes may be written, may use tokens to represent information, or may adjust the orientation of cards to indicate known/unknown. Notes may not obscure the board state for the opponent.

2.4.3. During swiss games the only notes players are permitted to have when a match begins are rules references and their own decklist (that conforms to 2.1.4). Outside notes other than rules references and decklists such as match-up guides, lists of cards, probability charts, etc. are not allowed. If a player thinks their opponent is using outside notes beyond the reference material, they should contact a judge.

2.4.4. During cut games, players may also refer to their opponent's decklist, if the tournament uses open decklists during the cut.

2.4.5. Notes are not public information, a player is not required to reveal, translate, or explain their notes to their opponent. However a judge or tournament organizer may ask to look at, and have explained, the notes that were taken.

2.4.6. Players are still expected to play at a reasonable pace while taking notes. If a player is consistently playing slowly and the Head Judge determines that note-taking is slowing their play, they may restrict the player's ability to take notes.

2.4.7. In casual events, or online events, digital devices may be used to record notes.

2.4.8. Players may use any mutually agreed upon method of randomization so long as it does not impede the flow of the game. If an ability requires randomization, a player may request their opponent use an appropriate die or flip a coin. When accessing cards at random (most commonly from HQ), shuffling by hand is sufficient.

2.4.9. Some players require additional accommodations - they should speak with the Tournament Organizer to make sure their needs are met. For TO expectations for

providing accommodations, see 3.2.6. Examples of accommodations are in Appendix IV.

2.5. Player Event Responsibilities

2.5.1. Players are expected to show up on time to their matches and should not leave the event space until a round has officially started.

2.5.2. Players are responsible for making sure their match result is reported before leaving the event space.

2.5.3. Players are responsible for shuffling their decks at the start of the game and after situations where the order of the cards in the deck becomes known.

For example, a player must shuffle their deck after searching it.

2.5.3.1. Decks must be shuffled in a way where there is no way to know the cards' order. For example if players only pile shuffle the decks the order of the cards can be known.

2.5.3.2. After shuffling the deck a player must present the deck for the opponent and allow the opponent to randomize the cards, typically by cutting the deck or shuffling the deck.

2.5.3.3. Players may postpone the shuffling of the deck and combine multiple actions that search the deck so just one shuffle of the deck is required.

2.5.4. Players are required to clearly communicate their game actions to their opponent during the game.

2.5.4.1. Shortcuts are allowed (and common), but must be explained to the opponent so both players understand what is happening.

2.5.4.2. Communication can happen both verbally and non-verbally. Not every form of communication is easy for every person across all people.

2.5.5. A player can call a judge for any reason, including (but not limited to):

2.5.5.1. There is a disagreement regarding game rules, board state, tournament rules, or how a card works.

2.5.5.2. A clarification on a rule or interaction is needed.

2.5.5.3. The Code of Conduct is violated.

2.5.5.4. You would like the official text of a card or rules document in any language to which it has been officially translated.

2.5.5.5. You feel threatened by another participant or spectator.

2.5.5.6. You would like a member of event staff to watch for slow play.

2.5.5.7. A rule is broken or the gamestate is illegal/inaccurate and you are unsure how to proceed.

2.5.6. You must call a judge for the following reasons:

2.5.6.1. You notice an illegal game state.

2.5.6.2. You and your opponent would like to report a two-for-one or intentional draw.

These rules are not in place to punish participants who have made honest mistakes but to reach a resolution that is fair to both players. Mistakes are bound to be made and only rarely will a player intentionally behave dishonestly. Judges are there to ensure all players have a fun and fair experience.

2.5.7. Judges have the ability to grant time extensions if rulings significantly slow down the pace of the game. Players are welcome to ask for a specific extension after a given ruling.

2.5.8. If you feel unsafe calling a judge or are otherwise unable to do so, report to a member of event staff after your match is concluded and the incident will be handled appropriately.

2.5.9. If you disagree with a judge's ruling or penalty, you have the right to appeal it and involve a higher judge. The Head Judge has the final say in all rulings and disputes.

2.5.10. Intentional draws are permitted, but both players are required to be present and mark their willingness to intentionally draw to a judge. Players will receive points for a tie for the round. Players must decide to intentionally draw no later than 5 minutes after the round starts.

2.5.11. Two-for-Ones (241) are an agreement between two players in double sided swiss where they agree whoever wins the first game will be recorded as winning the entire match. Both players must be present and mark their willingness to 241 to a judge. The judge will randomize the sides for the game. Players have until 5 minutes into the round to call a judge to request administration for a 241.

2.5.12 Players are allowed to concede the match for any reason and are not expected to explain the decision in any way. Concessions are counted as normal wins and losses into the tournament software. Preferably the concession is made within the first 5 minutes of a match but can be made by either player during the duration of the match. After the match has been finished it cannot be forfeited anymore.

2.5.13. Players may petition to rejoin a tournament from which they have dropped or were dropped due to absence/tardiness. They may be readmitted in the next Swiss round at the Tournament Organizer's discretion.

2.5.14 Minors under the age of 14 must be accompanied by a responsible adult, or have written permission from a parent or guardian handed to the tournament organizer. The tournament organizer has the right to impose additional age limitations, and refuse entry to minors.

2.6. Spectator Responsibilities

2.6.1. Spectators may observe games taking place during the event.

2.6.2. Spectators must ensure that any comments they make are inaudible to either player.

2.6.3. Spectators should take care to minimize their reactions to hidden information.

2.6.4. Any spectator, player, or event staff may ask a spectator to remove themselves from the vicinity of a game if they are unable to follow 2.6.2 and 2.6.3, and a spectator must oblige

2.6.5. Spectators may not interact with the players during a game. Spectators may ask for a judge to observe a game.

3. Staff, Tournament Organizer, Judge, Timekeeper, and Scorekeeper Expectations

3.1. All Staff Expectations

3.1.1. All staff must act in accordance with the Null Signal Games Code of Conduct.

3.1.2. Staff may participate in events they are leading.

3.1.2.1. If the Head Judge is participating in the event they must nominate 1 non-participant, or 2 other participants to act as impartial judges in the event a judge is needed in a game they are involved in.

3.1.2.2. Staff participation should occur only if the event can be run smoothly with them as active players.

3.1.2.3. Staff playing should not do deck checks. They should only look at decklists if there is a decklist error and a non-participant cannot verify the deck or decklist legality.

3.2. Tournament Organizer Expectations

3.2.1. The Tournament Organizer has the final say on all organizational aspects of the event, including but not limited to structure, legal tokens/dice/proxies, and policy exceptions. Anything not clarified or covered by this document is also at the discretion of the Tournament Organizer.

3.2.2. All responsibilities in this document may be delegated by the TO. A Head Judge may be appointed by the Tournament Organizer, but the same person may also fill both roles. The Head Judge has the final say on rulings and infractions.

3.2.3. The Tournament Organizer is responsible for ensuring that all staff and players are acting in accordance with Null Signal Games Code of Conduct.

3.2.4. The Tournament Organizer is responsible for ensuring that the following things are communicated/distributed/collected. They are free to delegate these tasks as they see fit.

3.2.4.1. Scheduling and publicizing details of the event (including event structure) a reasonable amount of time in advance.

3.2.4.2. Securing prize support and other necessary materials (or working with the venue to do so).

3.2.4.3. Preparing the event space for the tournament.

3.2.4.4. Appointing, supervising, and delegating responsibility to judges and assistants as required.

3.2.4.5. Maintaining the integrity of the tournament.

3.2.4.6. Distributing prizes.

3.2.4.7. Remaining available and present at the venue throughout the event.

3.2.4.8. Collecting reward byes, verifying validity, and destroying them.

3.2.4.9. Overseeing score-keeping and pairings and keeping the event moving at a reasonable pace.

3.2.4.10. Responding to and resolving rules infractions, disputes, and other concerns.

3.2.4.11. Resolving unforeseen issues which may arise.

3.2.4.12. Making clear that accommodations are available for any attendees who need them and facilitating said accommodations.

3.2.4.13. Make clear the appeals structure on judge rulings (if any).

3.2.5. The Tournament Organizer may deem a person ineligible to participate in an event only if the person:

3.2.5.1. Is currently banned from Null Signal Games Organized Play events.

3.2.5.2. Is currently banned from the tournament venue or refuses to comply with event or venue health guidelines.

3.2.5.3. Poses a threat to participants, spectators, and/or event/venue staff.

3.2.5.4. Fails to register or arrive on time and their presence would mean that the venue's legal occupant capacity is exceeded.

3.2.6. The Tournament Organizer is responsible for ensuring accommodations are provided to players that need them.

3.2.6.1. Accommodations may be provided for any reason including (but not limited to) disability, emotional distress, injury, or neurodivergence. Tournament Organizers should assume the accommodation is being asked for in good faith and does not need to be proven.

3.2.6.2. Always ask the person receiving accommodations what they need. Situations should be handled on a case-by-case basis and tailored to a specific individual's needs.

3.2.6.3. If a person does not know specifically what they may need, start by suggesting some common accommodations as listed in Appendix IV.

3.2.6.4. The Tournament Organizer is allowed to reasonably modify any policy to meet an individual's needs, except when doing so would affect the health and safety of other participants.

Prioritizing the needs of the individual so that they may compete without undue burdens is more important than the complete sanctity of this document. Reasonable modifications are those that attempt to lessen or eliminate these burdens while still maintaining the competitive integrity of an event, and the health and safety of all participants.

3.2.6.5. An individual called an **Oracle** may be selected by the Tournament Organizer to deliver accommodations. For specific Oracle expectations, see 3.6.

3.2.7. After a competitive tier event is finished the TO is responsible for the top cut finishing decklists being publically available for people to refer to.

3.3. Judge Expectations

Judges' primary role is not penalization, it is to ensure that all players have access to an equitable playing field. In all events, especially casual ones, ensuring all players understand both the resolution and the why of a given call is an important element of judging.

3.3.1. Judges are expected to have a good understanding of the rules of Netrunner, and a solid understanding of this document.

3.3.2. Judges should strive to be impartial and deliver the best ruling based on their understanding of any given issue they are looking to resolve. The sections on repairing board states should be a helpful guide.

3.3.3. If a judge observes an illegal boardstate they should intervene and follow the normal procedure as outlined in section 4.

3.3.4. If a judge observes an illegal action about to be taken, they should not intervene until the infraction occurs.

Judges are not at tournaments to prevent mistakes, but if they observe an illegal action, they should intervene before it escalates to a non-rewindable board state.

3.3.5 Judges are free to use references and consult with other judges, but they should avoid asking players or spectators what the resolution to the issue should be.

3.3.6. Judges should not ask either player what they think the correct ruling is, or how they would like the situation to be resolved.

This places an onus on the player being asked to recommend a ruling, pitting their own interests against social norms.

Sometimes a player will offer a resolution of their own volition. Judges should generally stick with the guidelines laid out in this document over a player's offered resolution

3.3.7. When a judge issues a ruling, it is their responsibility to ensure both players understand the ruling.

3.3.8. Whenever a judge interacts with players in an active match they should evaluate if extra time should be awarded. Time increments less than a minute should not be given. A judge should keep in mind the wait time, as well as the time spent resolving the issue.

3.3.9. If a judge issues an infraction, they are responsible for ensuring the Head Judge is made aware. If they issue a game loss, they should ensure the players are aware of the appeal policy if relevant. If the infraction could lead to player removal, they must consult with the Head Judge.

3.3.10 Judges may perform deck checks at the beginning of rounds or between the swiss rounds and the top cut. More information on performing a deck check can be found in the appendix V.

3.3.10. Head Judge additional expectations

3.3.10.1. In events with multiple judges the head judge is the final say on both rulings and infractions.

3.3.10.2. If an infraction would lead to disqualification the Head Judge must ensure that the TO is aware.

3.4. Timekeeper Expectations

3.4.1. The timekeeper is responsible for tracking time on the round.

3.4.2. They must have a definitive source for the time. When possible this should be made visible to as many players as is practicable.

3.4.3. When a round concludes the timekeeper should keep tracking the time elapsed. This allows for proper handling of rounds where time is extended.

3.4.4. When time on the round begins and ends it is the Timekeeper's responsibility to ensure all still active players are made aware.

3.5. Scorekeeper Expectations

3.5.1. Scorekeepers are responsible for collecting player reports of match results.

3.5.2. Scorekeepers should understand how to score for the event they are judging (see 1.1.6 for more information)

3.5.3. They should ensure the scores are publicly visible so players have the opportunity to correct mistakes that may occur

3.5.4. In the event of a mistake, they should endeavor to correct it. In some cases re-pairing an unstarted round may be appropriate. This is at the scorekeeper and Head TO's judgment.

3.6. Oracle Expectations

An Oracle's role is to deliver assistance, not act as a second brain for a player. Tournament Organizers should take the time to thoroughly explain the duties of oracling to the Oracle(s) before the start of the event, especially those related to the handling of private information and being an impartial party to the game.

- 3.6.1. Oracles are responsible for delivering accommodations to those who need them.
- 3.6.2. Oracles should understand the needs of those they are assisting by discussing these needs with the person and the Tournament Organizer
- 3.6.3. The following actions are permissible by Oracles. The oracle, Tournament Organizer, and player should all discuss which of the following assistance measures are needed for the particular player before the event starts. If a change needs to be made, the Tournament Organizer should be made aware between rounds.
 - 3.6.3.1. Relay any public information requested by the person they are assisting.
 - 3.6.3.2. Relay any private information requested by the person they are assisting, so long as that player controls that information.
 - 3.6.3.3. Manipulate game pieces or cards at the request of the person they are assisting.
 - 3.6.3.4. Inform the person they are assisting of plays by the opponent as those plays have been announced/completed.
 - 3.6.3.5. Inform the person they are assisting in manipulation of game pieces or cards by the opponent.
 - 3.6.3.6. Take dictation from the person they are assisting to create notes for that person.
 - 3.6.3.7. Take their own notes, but only on agreements they have made with the person they are assisting and not the game itself.

This is a broad set of possible actions. The TO, Oracle, and player being assisted should discuss which of these are necessary. Players who just need physical assistance with card manipulation for example should not get information relayed to them provided their cards are physical. For people who need assistance because they are lacking information should receive more assistance. For people with visual disabilities reminding the player of the cards they have installed and their opponents board state is always allowed.

- 3.6.4. During games Oracles may not:
 - 3.6.4.1. Relay information that is not requested by the person they are assisting.

3.6.4.2. Manipulate game pieces without being told to do so by the person they are assisting.

3.6.4.3. Offer play advice to either player.

3.6.4.4. Publicly disclose any private information controlled by the person they are assisting.

3.6.4.5. Point out rules infractions committed by either player (unless the oracle's role is relaying board state information to a player).

Oracles who are accommodating for sensory limitations (blindness/deafness/etc) may point out issues that the player they're oracling for would have difficulties detecting. But for players where oracles are providing mechanical assistance, they should not intervene in the match. This is to prevent the player they're oracling gaining an advantage of an extra pair of eyes.

3.6.4.6. Offer rulings on rules infractions committed by either player.

3.6.4.7. Take notes directly related to the game without dictation from the person they are assisting.

3.6.5. Informational infractions by the Oracle should be treated as an infraction for outside assistance. The Tournament Organizer may downgrade it to a warning at their discretion.

4. Infraction and Penalty Policies

Parts of this section act like a flow chart. 4.2 is used to determine which type of infraction has occurred, then the remaining sections are used to determine what if any penalty should be assessed.

For repairing board states, after determining if there should be any penalty, there will be directions to a subsection of section 5.

4.1. Types of Penalties

4.1.1. Warning: This is the lowest form of recorded penalty. A warning has no effect on the game being conducted. Judges should record warnings issued. If a player receives warnings on three separate occasions for the same offense, it should be upgraded.

A warning provides key feedback to the player to allow them to learn and adjust their actions accordingly. Even if an infraction has occurred multiple times, as long as a warning has not been provided to the player, it only counts as a single warning.

4.1.2. Game loss: This penalty is the immediate loss of the current game. If there are games remaining in the match, the players immediately proceed to the next game. If a game loss is issued after a result is recorded, the penalty applies to the next game that the offending player will play. If that game would require the offending player to select a side, that side is selected randomly.

The rationale behind this is it prevents players from taking game losses advantageously to specific opponents.

A common source of game losses after a reported result comes from the discovery of an illegal deck.

4.1.2.1 In swiss rounds, if both players are issued a game loss, both players gain 0 points from that game.

4.1.2.2 In cut rounds, if both players are issued a game loss, one player is selected at random to lose the current game; then, the player not selected has the game loss penalty applied to their next game.

4.1.3. Match loss: The penalty is the full loss of the match. Regardless of the result of any games played in the match so far, instead record the maximum points for the player winning the match.

4.1.4. Disqualification (minor): The player is ejected from the tournament, and any active matches are forfeited. The player may remain on the premises.

4.1.5. Disqualification (major): The most severe penalty that can be issued by a TO. Any active matches are forfeited, and the player is asked to leave the tournament

premises. The cause of this disqualification should be communicated to Organized Play via email.

4.1.6. Banning: Only done by Organized Play in consultation with Null Signal Games Leadership. A banned player is not permitted to play at Null Signal Games Sanctioned events.

4.1.7. At the casual level events judges are encouraged to downgrade game losses and match losses to warnings when the integrity of the game is not heavily compromised. They should make clear to the player that they are electing to downgrade the penalty.

While some situations call for a game loss at competitive level events because it is the most fair resolution in higher stakes settings, for more casual events it's preferred to give players the benefit of the doubt and make major mistakes learning opportunities rather than game losses.

4.2. Determining the Type of Infraction

4.2.1. When determining if an infraction or infractions occurred use the steps 4.2.2 - 4.2.4. If multiple infractions occurred, a player may receive multiple penalties. If they would ever receive more than one of the same type of penalty, only apply the penalty once.

4.2.2. Are they making participants feel unsafe or unwelcome?

4.2.2.1. Go to 4.3.4

4.2.3. Was this an error outside of the game or to do with game pieces?

4.2.3.1. If their decklist/deck do not match go to 4.4

4.2.3.2. If there were issues with marked cards go to 4.4.4

4.2.3.3. If there are concerns of bribery, gambling or cheating? Go to 4.3

4.2.4. Was this an error that occurred in game?

4.2.4.1. Was the issue with the decklist/deck? Go to 4.4

4.2.4.2. Does this error have to do with revealing hidden information? Go to 4.5

4.2.4.3. Does this error have to do with drawing more cards than intended? Go to 4.5.2

4.2.4.4. Does the error involve insufficient randomization? Go to 4.5.3

4.2.4.5. Did a player forget to discard down to hand size? Go to 4.8.1

4.2.4.6. Does this error involve external communication? Go to 4.3.2

4.2.4.7. Does this error involve missed triggers or an illegal action? Go to 4.6

4.2.4.8. Does the issue have to do with skipped action windows? Go to 4.7

4.2.4.9. Does the error involve an illegal board state? Go to 4.8

4.2.4.10. Does this error involve the players starting early, tardiness, or slow play? Go to 4.9

4.3. Code of Conduct and Unsporting Behavior violations

4.3.1. Cheating: If a player is credibly thought to be cheating, they should be disqualified

4.3.2. Outside assistance from other players, devices, or external notes:

4.3.2.1. If the player intentionally sought external assistance they are disqualified.

4.3.2.2. If a player did not solicit the outside assistance from another player, disqualify the player that gave unsolicited assistance. Then see 5.2.5

4.3.3. Bribery and gambling: disqualification

4.3.4. Code of Conduct violation: Because circumstances can vary wildly, there is no catch-all remedy for a Code of Conduct violation; these must be handled on a case-by-case basis. At a bare minimum a warning will be issued and a member of event staff will explain why the offender's behavior is unacceptable. Reports of harassment, discrimination, intimidation, and threats of violence are taken extremely seriously and are grounds for (at least) immediate disqualification and dismissal from the venue.

4.4. Decklist and Deck Issues

4.4.1. If a player has an illegal decklist, that player should be issued a game loss, and the decklist should be made legal by following these steps:

4.4.1.1. Remove any illegal cards from the decklist. This includes cards not legal for the format, cards forbidden by a deckbuilding restriction, cards from the wrong side, cards with more copies than permitted and out-of-faction cards without an influence cost.

4.4.1.2. If a decklist contains more influence than the identity's influence limit, remove out-of-faction cards with influence costs from the decklist. Remove at random a non-agenda card with the lowest possible influence cost, but not less than the excess influence spent. If this is not possible, remove at random a non-agenda card with the highest possible influence cost and repeat this process.

For example, if a Corp deck with a 15 influence limit contains 17 influence, choose a random non-agenda 2-influence card to remove. If this isn't possible, choose a 3-influence card, otherwise a 4-influence card, and so on.

4.4.1.3. If a Corp decklist does not contain enough agenda points, add neutral 4/2 agendas with no rules text named "Corporate Agenda" to the deck until it contains enough agenda points.

4.4.1.4. If a Corp decklist does not contain enough cards, add neutral 0-cost operations with no rules text and 0 trash cost named "Corporate Operation" until the deck contains enough cards.

4.4.1.5. If a Runner decklist does not contain enough cards, add neutral 0-cost events with no rules text named "Runner Event" until the deck contains enough cards.

4.4.1.6. Update the player's deck to match the decklist. Issue proxies if necessary.

4.4.2. If a player has at least one physical deck that does not match their decklist, that player should be issued a game loss, and their decks should be updated to match their decklists.

4.4.3. In a casual event, if a player's deck contains extra cards from the wrong side, they should be revealed and set aside, then replaced as appropriate, and the player should be issued a warning. If a deck is otherwise illegal, the player should be issued a game loss and permitted to add or remove cards to make the deck legal, adding or removing as few cards as possible.

4.4.4. If a player has a deck with marked cards, if the cards were marked unintentionally, the player should be issued a warning and the steps in 5.3.2 should be followed. If the cards were marked intentionally, this is cheating: the player should be issued a minor disqualification.

4.5. Revealed Information & Overdraws

4.5.1. If a player revealed their own card:

4.5.1.1. If the controller of the card knew the identity of the card, issue that player a warning. See 5.2 for restoring the board state.

4.5.1.2. If the player did not know the card's identity and could make decisions before that information would normally be revealed, issue a warning and see 5.2.4.

4.5.1.1 is mostly covering cases where a player sees a face-down card without rezzing it. 4.5.1.2 is primarily addressing the case where the Corp sees the top card of R&D. If the corp has opportunities to change their ice rezzes/assets because they know the top of R&D, the correct course of action is to shuffle the Corp player's deck and have the runner access a new card.

4.5.1.3. If the non-controlling player revealed the information it is a game loss for the player revealing the information.

4.5.1.3 is mostly handling the case where a player accesses too many cards, and does more damage than they're supposed to. In some cases judges may determine the extra information was of low consequence (like if the runner was supposed to access multiple cards and the first card had no possible runner interactions) - but in most cases this is a game loss.

4.5.2. If the player drew too many cards:

4.5.2.1. If the cards can be separated from their preexisting hand, issue a warning and then follow the procedure in 5.2.4.

4.5.2.2. If the player drew too many cards and has actions/active abilities that allow them to draw that many cards, issue a warning, then proceed to 5.2.3

4.5.2.3. If the player drew too many cards and does not have actions or abilities that allow them to draw that many cards and they cannot be distinguished from other cards, issue a game loss.

4.5.3. Was a player insufficiently randomizing a random zone (such as pile shuffling)?

4.5.3.1. Warning - the player must fully shuffle their deck as outlined in 5.2.4.

4.6. Missed Triggers and Illegal action

4.6.1. If a player missed a mandatory trigger, and that trigger is generally negative (usually costs the player some resource), then a warning should be issued for a missed detrimental trigger.

4.6.2. Otherwise missed triggers do not require any penalty. Instead the judge can go directly to 5.1 to resolve the board state.

4.6.3. If a player took an illegal action the judge may issue a warning, then go to 5.1 to resolve the board state. If a player is suspected to have intentionally taken an illegal action for their own benefit, see 4.3.1

4.7. Skipped Actions

4.7.1. If a player says their action window was skipped, discuss with both players what the sequence of events that occurred. If it seems like a window was skipped, give the player a warning. Then follow the rules for rewinding missed triggers as in 5.1.3.

It's important to be very careful here and differentiate takeback requests from actual violations. If a player makes a declarative action such as making a run, and the Corp player rezzes the outermost ice, in most cases that is not a skipped action.

Rewinding things like agenda scores can be tricky - but it is recommended to go back one click.

4.8. Illegal Board State

4.8.1 Forgetting to discard to hand size is an exception to the below rules, issue a warning to the offending player then go to 5.4.5 to resolve.

4.8.2. Has significant time elapsed since the board became illegal? If the opponent made decisions about activating abilities since the board became illegal, it is a game loss.

Significant does not have a hard and fast definition. In general a judge should evaluate if the opposing player took actions such as installing cards, playing cards, advancing, rezzing, that is often going to be a significant change. In some rare cases a judge may determine that the illegal board state had no impact on the actions taken. But this should only be done in cases where the board state has been illegal for a short number of clicks or turns, and when the actions taken would not have been impacted by the illegal action.

Most common illegal board states involve players being over MU. In general this is not a recoverable board state if the corporation has taken install actions or had the opportunity to do so because what programs/breakers the runner has access to will often factor into install/draw decisions. So generally the correct action is a game loss.

Illegally installed cards (non-ice as Ice) is also significant unless the Corp player spots it before they've drawn any additional cards and before the runner runs the ice or accesses cards from HQ.

4.8.3. If play has not progressed past the illegal board state, issue a warning and proceed to 5.4. If the illegal boardstate cannot be unwound, issue a game loss instead.

4.9. Clock Violations

4.9.1. If a player is tardy for their match:

4.9.1.1. If they are 5 minutes late after time has started on the round, they lose the first game of the match

4.9.1.2. If they are 10 minutes late after time has started on the round they forfeit the entire match

4.9.1.3. If they do not return before the match ends, they are dropped

4.9.2. If players begin playing before time is begun:

4.9.2.1. Both players should be issued a warning, should pause until the timer starts, then play may continue

4.9.3. If a player is slow playing - stalling, or appearing to think for long stretches, in excess of what is reasonable - a judge should issue a warning and continue to observe the game.

There is not a fixed time limit for slow play. Judges should give players allowances for complex board states. Conversely static board states with little change between turns should not warrant long pauses before committal actions are taken.

Generally, turns should not take more than 90 seconds to complete, but extended thought time is expected at pivotal moments in the game. Judges should only enforce slow play for repeated offenses of greater than 90 seconds per turn.

Repeated stretches of 30-40 seconds between actions is also a form of slow play and should also lead to a warning.

5. Repairing Board States

5.1. Missed Triggers and Illegal Actions

5.1.1. Players are responsible for managing their own optional triggers.

5.1.2. Mandatory triggers are the responsibility of the controlling player. If the opponent observes a mandatory trigger was missed, they may alert their opponent in a timely manner and ensure the trigger is resolved.

5.1.2.1. If the missed trigger was detrimental (the ability would negatively affect the controller in most board states) the trigger should be resolved when possible provided not much in-game time has passed. If significant in game time has passed, play should continue.

5.1.3. When rewinding to the point of the missed trigger or illegal action, this can only be done if the player who missed the trigger, or took the illegal action, gained no meaningful information about their opponent's board state.

Missed triggers are one of the most common reasons for judge calls (after accidental information reveals). The intent behind these rules is to ensure that players are responsible for their own triggers. Triggers should be granted "late" only if the decision tree both players have assessed is small relative to the value of the trigger.

The reason behind these takeback rules is that Netrunner is a complex game with a tendency to accumulate an abundance of automatic and non-automatic triggers. Players prefer knowing that if they realized they missed a trigger that they're not overly penalized.

When assessing if a rewind is permissible a judge must be careful in assessing the board state. Runs in particular are very fraught, if a runner missed a trigger that would have affected their credit pool before making the run (for example) that can significantly change a corp player's decision tree.

Finally, while it is tempting to ask the opposing player if they would allow a takeback, judges are reminded that 3.3.3 instructs them to not ask the opponent how they would like a ruling to be resolved.

5.1.4. If a player took an illegal action, and this was noticed quickly, rewind to the point just before the action was taken. If the illegal action caused information to be revealed, see 5.2 for any relevant escalation. The player who took the illegal action should be issued a warning.

5.1.5. If the illegal action happened in a significant time in the past, no fix is possible and the game should continue. The player who took the illegal action should be issued a warning.

Illegal actions (such as paying incorrect costs, breaking subroutines with the incorrect card, etc) behave essentially like missed triggers. If they're caught in a timely fashion, judges should rewind the board state when possible. Otherwise it is generally advised to let play continue. Mistakes are common, and trying to constantly make retroactive changes based on players memory of board states is very prone to error.

Significant time depends on the board state and is up to the judge to evaluate. If it is still the same turn, it is likely worth rewinding, and having the player otherwise go through the same actions. If some of those actions would now be illegal, it is likely not worth rewinding. See the discussion in 4.8.2 for more details.

The reason for not giving game losses based on past illegal actions is primarily to reduce the ability of someone to get judge-based wins by observing an illegal action, and then calling a judge after some time has elapsed.

5.2. Surplus Information Obtained

5.2.1. For additional cards drawn or accessed, if the selection of cards can be segregated, they should be re-randomized and the correct number of cards drawn/accessed. The remaining cards should be shuffled (see 5.2.4 for details on shuffling)

5.2.2. If the player controlling the card revealed it, and the card was known to them, continue the game as normal.

If a player accidentally reveals their own cards their opponent is free to use that information to their advantage. Judges should not attempt to re-randomize that revealed information as it could be a potential way for a player to "mulligan" cards drawn.

5.2.3. If a player draws too many cards, and they cannot be distinguished from other cards, if they have actions or active abilities that would allow them to draw that many cards, they must take those actions to draw. If they don't, refer to 4.5.2.3.

5.2.4. If cards must be returned to a randomized zone, they should be shuffled into the deck, rather than returned in a specific order, even if there normally would not be a shuffle effect. If the zone is partially ordered, the known, ordered portion should be set aside, and the remaining cards shuffled together, then ordered portion returned to the known positions. If players have only an estimate of the number of ordered cards, set aside a number close to the estimate, and shuffle the remaining cards.

5.2.5. If information was provided about the board state by an external party, and neither player solicited that information, the following resolutions are recommended:

5.2.5.1. If the information can be randomized, easily unwound, or minor enough to allow play to continue, do so.

5.2.5.2. Otherwise if the game was in the Swiss rounds, record the result as a tie. The players may attempt to re-play the game after any other games they

have during the round, but should only record decisive results (not timed wins or losses)

5.2.5.3. If the game was in the cut, end the game immediately, and have the players swap sides.

5.3. Illegal Decklist or Deck

5.3.1. Modify the deck until it matches the submitted decklist. Use proxies as needed. If the decklist is illegal refer to 4.4.2.

5.3.2. If a card becomes marked unintentionally the player is responsible for providing an unmarked version of the card. If there is no suitable replacement, at a competitive event the player is disqualified. At casual events, the Tournament Organizer may choose to instead randomize the marked card between each game.

This will often occur if a sleeve gets broken or shows signs of wear. Encourage players to bring extra sleeves, and work with the player to come up with replacement options.

5.4. Illegal Boardstate

As a reminder an illegal board state should only be fixed if it's detected immediately (see 4.8). Judges repairing illegal board states at a casual event after the fact should be done sparingly.

5.4.1. If there are illegally installed cards, trash them until the board state is legal.

5.4.2. If an Operation or Event was played illegally, return it to the zone of origin, and reset the board to before any costs were paid.

5.4.3. If information about an unknown zone was obtained during the resolution of the illegal board state, re-randomize the zone as instructed to by 5.2.4.

5.4.4. If a card was shuffled into a deck incorrectly, if both players knew its identity, return it back to its original zone. If only one player knew the identity, the board state cannot be unwound.

5.4.5. In general if a player forgot to discard to hand size, the judge should randomly discard a card from their hand, however some conditions can change that.

5.4.5.1. If the Runner forgot to discard, then randomly discard cards from their hand.

5.4.5.1.1. If this was noticed while resolving damage, determine how many cards would have been discarded at random, discard those (they are not trashed), then resolve damage. If some cards have already been revealed to damage, randomly select some of those as discarded, then resolve damage.

5.4.5.2. If the Corp forgot to discard and no cards have been accessed from HQ or Archives, randomly discard a card from the Corp's hand.

5.4.5.3. If cards have been accessed this turn from archives, the discarded card should be turned face up. If it is an agenda the runner may access it. If it is not an agenda, play continues without accessing that card.

5.4.5.4. If it is discovered after a card has been accessed from HQ, if it is discovered during the access, randomly discard a different card from the hand.

5.5. Take Backs

5.5.1. A take backs is when one player requests to undo a previous action..

5.5.2. A take back should not be granted if the action being taken back revealed information to the requesting player.

5.5.3. In casual events, take backs may be granted if only a small amount of information is revealed and there is a compelling reason to grant the take back.

Take backs are permitted as they increase the pace of play. Allowing players to fix sequencing errors should result in less time taken during routine turns. However, it's more important that players can't gain any information by using take backs. Some suggested rulings are below. However, judges should always consider the whole game state, including player histories, when deciding whether to grant a take back.

Scenario 1: The Runner installs Pennyshaver, then says "I wanted to play my Sure Gamble first."

Outcome: A take back should be granted.

Reasoning: The Runner has not gained any information from installing the Pennyshaver first. This is a minor sequencing error and granting a take back here allows players to play more quickly.

Scenario 2: The Runner declares "Run R&D." R&D is not protected by ice, and there are no upgrades in its root. After accessing the top card of R&D, the Runner says "I meant to play this Clean Getaway."

Outcome: A take back should not be granted.

Reasoning: The Runner has gained information from seeing the top card of R&D, and there are many cases where the Runner gains advantage from knowing what the top card of R&D is. One example is if the accessed card is a Byte!, and the Runner wishes to protect some cards in their hand.

Scenario 3: The Runner clicks to draw a card, then says "I meant to click Dr. Nuka Vrolyck instead."

Outcome: In a casual event, a take back *may* be granted. In a competitive event, a take back should not be granted.

Reasoning: In many board states, the Runner does not effectively gain information from seeing the top card of the deck before using Nuka's ability. However, the Runner may be drawing to above hand size without knowing whether they can play enough cards, or trying to save certain cards in their hand or deck from damage. In these cases, the runner can gain an advantage from knowing the top card of their deck.

Scenario 4: The Runner declares “Run HQ.” HQ is protected by an unrezzed ice. After a brief pause, but before the Corp says whether they are rezzing the ice, the Runner says “I meant to run R&D.”

Outcome: A take back *may* be granted.

Reasoning: The Runner may have gained information about whether the Corp is able to rez the ice protecting HQ and whether the Corp believes it is advantageous to rez the ice protecting HQ. However, this may not always be the case: if the Runner says they wanted to run R&D almost immediately after running HQ, then they may not have effectively gained any information.

Appendix I: Common Terms and Abbreviations

TO	Tournament Organizer
Cut	Elimination Rounds in an event
Corp	Corporation (side)
NSG	Null Signal Games
GNK	Game Night Kit - Event using a Game Night Kit acquired from Null Signal Games as the primary prize support
CTK	Casual Tournament Kit - Event using a Casual Tournament Kit as the main prize support. Awards a bye to the Circuit Breaker Invitational.
Board	Play Area
Game	An individual game of Netrunner
Match	A number of games (1 or 2) played in a single round of a Netrunner tournament.

Appendix II:

Tournament Rounds Recommendations

Single Sided Swiss Round Recommendations

Casual		
#	Swiss	Cut
<16	5-6	-
16-36	6	4
37-64	7	4
65-80	8	8
81-146	9	8
147-219	10	8
220+	12	16

Competitive		
#	Swiss	Cut
<16	5-6	-
16-24	6	4
25-48	7	8
49-64	8	8
65-80	10	8
81-128	11	16
129-163	12	16
164+	14	16

For Single Sided events larger than 50 people, the Competitive round numbers should ensure the average event has at least one person making the cut with X-3 record. If time is an issue, reducing the round count by up to 2 will still give sufficient results to sort players.

For Districts and Casual events no cut, single elimination cuts, and double elimination cuts are all permitted. For Districts and Casual events, reducing the cut size in half but adding an additional swiss round is also an option for TOs.

Double Sided Swiss Round Recommendations

Casual		
#	Swiss	Cut
<12	3	-
12-15	4	-3/4
16-32	4	4
33-64	5	8
65-96	6	8
97-128	7	8
129+	7	16

Competitive		
#	Swiss	Cut
<10	3	-
10-15	4	-
16-24	4	4
25-32	4	8
33-56	5	8
57-80	6	8
81-128	7	8
129-192	7	16
192-256	8	16
257+	9	16

Appendix III: Alt Art Requirements

Alt arts cover all cards used instead of original Netrunner cards. All events should fulfill the following criteria. If a card does not fulfill some of the criteria, it is encouraged to discuss with organized play (if you're making a new alt-art) or the TO if you're a player bringing the art.

Alt arts requirements are split into two categories, which represent the highest tier of organized play events where such alts are permitted to be used.

Casual Event Requirements

- Art and text (such as flavor text) must follow the NSG Code of Conduct - no explicit, lewd, or offensive material. Depictions of violence in progress must be cleared by the TO at the event.
- Depictions of real people are only allowed with the explicit permission of the individual(s) involved. This rule also applies to celebrities.
- Cards must contain all information on the original card except flavor text, reminder text, and set number and information (updated errata is preferred). Identity cards, may have more missing information as long as the information is available elsewhere (back of card or another version available for reference)

This includes the faction symbol or a faction indicator

- Non-Official Translations must be declared (identifying which card they represent) to the Tournament Organizer before the tournament This declaration means that any disputes as to which card a translated card represents are easily resolved by the TO.
- Notes and out-of-game information are not permitted on alt arts.
- Text must be no smaller than 8pt Minion Pro (we recommend 9-10 where possible.)
- Text must be delineated (must stand out from the background) using a border and/or outline.

Competitive Event Requirements



- All Casual Event Requirements, as well as the following:
- Card names must be the original name of the card. Secondary or Alternative names are not permitted.
- Card names must be easily legible and cannot mix fonts or colors.
- Names of the card can not be edited to make a card into a different card with the same effect. (for example you can not use a **snare!** Card edit the name to be **Byte!**)
- All card information must be presented in the same orientation as the original. An exception to this rule is ice, which may be presented in either portrait or landscape format.

- All information on the card must be present in approximately the same location as the original cards (See card zone guides below.)

The sizes of text boxes can be adjusted, as long as they are in the same relative positions.

- Cards may only use Official NSG translations.
- Colors must not be misleading, especially in relation to faction identity (such as an Anarch card with blue influence pips or a completely purple NBN card, etc.)
- Text that goes over the top of art must have a border and a background color that reduces visual noise, with a minimum 4.5 contrast ratio (Contrast ratios can be checked at <https://webaim.org/resources/contrastchecker/> or similar websites)
- Elements (such as characters) from named cards must not be the solely depicted element or primary focus for an alt for an installable card that is not their own

For example, Mystic Maemi cannot be the only character depicted on an alt art for Paladin Poemu



Zone Guides

Appendix IV: Accommodations

We can in no way cover every possible scenario where a Tournament Organizer will need to make accommodations for participants who need them. Examples here are simply guidance, and should not be taken as the only ways to meet specific needs. Tournament Organizers are urged to ask individuals what their needs are before using this guidance.

The purpose of accommodations is not to give advantages but to reduce and eliminate barriers that prevent an individual from participating in an event entirely or at great personal discomfort. TOs are advised to hew close to established policy but are given complete freedom to discard or adjust any policy if too burdensome for a particular individual's needs. Similarly the TO must be practical with the resources they have available.

There is one exception: health and safety of other participants at a venue should never be reduced to provide accommodations. If an accommodation would lead to risk of health and safety for other participants, such as being unable to wear a mask to prevent the spread of infectious disease, the Tournament Organizer must refuse.

Oracles

- Someone who is designated to deliver accommodations. For the expectations of these individuals, see 3.6.
- Provides a way to complete tasks that a person may have difficulty doing themselves.

Accessible Seating

- Seating at the venue that is easy to enter and exit, such as those at the end of table rows or close to restroom facilities.
- Seating that will accommodate a wheelchair or other assistive device or table space that is larger than normal to hold such devices.
- Provides easy access to a table and/or necessary facilities and minimizes the need to move around other people.
- Should also be Fixed Seating in most circumstances.

Fixed Seating

- Seating that will not change from round to round. The person will not move tables between rounds.

- The table number should be edited after Swiss Pairings to a distinct, fixed number. Example: 99 at a 50 table event.
- Provides a fixed spot so that the person will not have to move at all.
- Should also be Accessible Seating in most circumstances.

Sensory Devices

- A device used during a game to ameliorate external and internal stressors.
- Provides a way to prevent overstimulation from intense sensory input. Common examples include (but are not limited to) noise canceling headphones, earplugs, non-disruptive fidget/stim objects.

Sensory Rooms

- A quiet room with less activity, light, and/or sound. May not be possible to provide at all venues and spaces.
- Provides a way for an overstimulated person to calm themselves and relax.

Shufflers

- Someone who is designated to shuffle for someone else.
- Can be an Oracle, a player's opponent, or a designated member of the tournament staff. Both players should mutually agree to the designee.
- Provides shuffling assistance for those who may not have dexterity or for whom repeated shuffling might cause pain and discomfort.

Break Extension

- Extension to the total duration of a break between rounds.
- Should start at a baseline of 5 minutes, but could be longer depending on circumstance.
- Waiving or extending the times mentioned in 4.8 for Clock Violations may be appropriate.
- Accommodates those that need additional time to take care of between round activities, like eating or using the restroom.
- Should also be paired with a Time Extension in most circumstances.

Time Extension

- Extension to the total duration of a round for a specific table.

- Should start at a baseline of 5 minutes, but could be longer depending on circumstance.
- Accommodates the additional time it takes to deliver assistance, such as relaying information or shuffling, without negatively impacting actual playtime.
- Does not necessarily need to be paired with a Break Extension if the accessibility concern is solely related to gameplay during the round

Appendix IV:

Deck Check guidelines

A Deck Check is a process where a judge goes through a deck looking for inaccuracies in the played cards or if the deck has some marked cards.

Depending on the amount of time available and volunteers, deck checks can be done in the beginning of rounds or after the swiss rounds before the top cut starts.

- If the deck check is performed in the beginning of the round, gather the decks after the players have sat down. Remember to inform the players that they will have the normal amount of time for the game after the deck check is complete.

If running a single sided swiss event it is recommended to only check the deck the player is not using that round.

- If the deck checks are performed between the cut and the swiss, gather the decks after the announcement before people start leaving the venue.
- After you have the decks:
 - Compare the physical deck to the submitted decklist. Every card should be included and there should not be any extra cards.
 - Check for marked cards by squaring the deck up and look at the sides, front, back and top. You should not be able to spot any single card out from the deck. Common reasons for marked cards are:
 - Damaged sleeves.
 - Cards with different thicknesses due to inner sleeves etc.
 - Card backs being visible through non opaque sleeves.
- After checking the deck if there were issues check for the according rulings and punishments. Most common being:
 - Contents of the decks are wrong: Gameloss for the next game. And change the physical deck to match the submitted decklist.
 - Marked cards: Issue a warning and fix the affected cards.
 - If cheating is suspected in either of the above cases the ruling might be turned into a disqualification.
- Return the decks to the players and inform them of any rulings and if the check was made in the beginning of a round make sure they have the correct time remaining on the clock. During this process it is really important that no player gets extra information about their opponents decks.